

To: Hoff, Melanie[Hoff.Melanie@epa.gov]; Heimerman, Jeffrey[Heimerman.Jeff@epa.gov]
From: Berkoff, Michael
Sent: Thur 7/21/2016 7:42:58 PM
Subject: FW: East Chicago Clean Up

.....
More NiPSCO information

From: Alex Kuszmaul [mailto:akuszmaul@scst.com]
Sent: Thursday, July 21, 2016 2:30 PM
To: Rik Lantz ; Berkoff, Michael
Cc: Matt Bizjack
Subject: RE: East Chicago Clean Up

All,

The only information that was shared with NIPSCO was public knowledge from the ROD and/or could be found on

The public repository:

East Chicago Public Library

Reference Department

2401 E. Columbus Drive

East Chicago, IN 46312

219-397-2453

This was all a part of the information they requested while they recreated the access agreement for their pipeline.

That and the maps that I sent a few days ago were the only correspondences I had with NIPSCO.

They did however request preliminary results which I of course refused to share.

Thanks,

Alex

From: Rik Lantz
Sent: Thursday, July 21, 2016 1:57 PM
To: Berkoff, Michael <berkoff.michael@epa.gov>
Cc: Alex Kuszmaul <akuszmaul@scst.com>; Matt Bizjack <mbizjack@scst.com>
Subject: FW: East Chicago Clean Up

Michael: The attached shows that NIPSCO requested info from us in relation to their periodic maintenance of the property – they apparently want to know how to deal with any soil spoils that those activities generated. I do not have a record of a response from us; I forwarded to you with the comment that I don't know about a waste generator number.

NIPSCO also sent us a request a couple of days ago for results of the samples we took on their property. We did not send results because they are not validated yet. Instead, Alex sent them maps showing the sampling locations, and a note saying the data wasn't available yet. I'll forward those to you as well.

So far as I know, we have never shared analytical data with NIPSCO, unless in some general sense in connection with getting access to sample their property. Alex handled that, so I can't say definitively that we did not.

Thanks,
Rik

From: Rik Lantz
Sent: Friday, June 10, 2016 12:57 PM
To: 'Berkoff, Michael' <berkoff.michael@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: East Chicago Clean Up

I don't know anything about a number under which the waste would be manifested. Seems related to Chemours query about who is the generator a few weeks back, but I don't know the answer.

Rik

From: Berkoff, Michael [<mailto:berkoff.michael@epa.gov>]
Sent: Friday, June 10, 2016 11:48 AM
To: Rik Lantz <rlantz@scst.com>
Subject: FW: East Chicago Clean Up

Any update on what I should say to them?

From: SOrmsby@nisource.com [<mailto:SOrmsby@nisource.com>]
Sent: Friday, June 10, 2016 10:57 AM
To: Berkoff, Michael <berkoff.michael@epa.gov>
Cc: JWFlegel@NiSource.com; JLong@nisource.com
Subject: Fw: East Chicago Clean Up

Michael,

Happy Friday! Has there been any word on how to proceed with waste generated in this neighborhood?
Thanks.

Scott Ormsby
NIPSCO
Environmental Coordinator III

219/286-5677

From: Scott Ormsby/NCS/Enterprise
To: berkoff.michael@epa.gov,
Cc: Christopher Shannon Hofmann/NCS/Enterprise@NISOURCE, John Flegel/NCS/Enterprise@NiSource, Joe Ferry/TCO/Enterprise@NISOURCE
Date: 04/18/2016 09:03 AM
Subject: East Chicago Clean Up

Mr. Berkoff,

This email is a follow up to our phone conversation that we had last week in regards to the Superfund Site located in East Chicago Indiana. As we understand, USEPA is in the process of starting their sampling of this area. From time to time we have gas and electric operations that conduct field work in this area. When work is to be done that involves disturbing the soils, a contractor is called in to excavate the surrounding soils. Any soils that are not returned to the excavation are containerized and sampled for proper disposal. As of date we have only had one sample that has failed TCLP of Lead (D008). Fortunately, we generated under 220 lb's and did not have to notify as a Small Quantity Generator (SQG) of Hazardous Waste for that job. My questions is if when you go to remove the soils from this project and it fails the TCLP for metals, under what EPA ID Number will you be manifesting this waste stream under? My question revolves around if we have another hot hit on contaminated soils under what EPA ID Number should we manage and manifest the contaminated soils off under? Each project is listed under that property address. I don't think that USEPA would want us to obtain a EPA ID Number for each property address for these residences. Please let me know your thoughts at your earliest convenience.

Thank you,

Scott Ormsby
NIPSCO
Environmental Coordinator III
219/286-5677